## Full Environmental Assessment Form <br> Part 1 - Project and Setting

## Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A \& B. In Sections C, D \& E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section $G$ requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part lis accurate and complete.

## A. Project and Sponsor Information.



## B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial
assistance.)


## C. Plamning and Zoning

## C.1. Planning and zoning actions.

Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?

- If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.
- If No, proceed to question C. 2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1


## C.2. Adopted land use plans.

a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site $\quad \mathrm{Z}$ Yes $\square$ No where the proposed action would be located?
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No would be located?
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway $\quad$ Y Yes $\square$ No Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)
If Yes, identify the plan(s):
Remediation Sites per NYSDEC 344004 and 334064; Hudson River Valley Greenway (the Town is a compact community); Hudson River Natural Heritage Area. $\qquad$
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?
If Yes, identify the plan(s):
Rockland County has an Open Space Acquisition Program with at least five properties acquired in the Town of Ramapo. The Town of Ramapo has made open space acquisitions through an Open Space Program.


## D. Project Details




## D.2. Project Operations

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)
If Yes:
$i$. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging?
ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?

- Volume (specify tons or cubic yards):
- Over what duration of time?
iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them.
iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, describe.
$v$. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? $\qquad$ acres
$v i$. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? acres
vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? $\qquad$ feet
viii. Will the excavation require blasting?
ix. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan:
b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area?
If Yes:
i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description):
ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:
$i v$. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?
If Yes:
- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):
- proposed method of plant removal:
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):
$v$. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If Yes:
$i$. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:
gallons/day
$i i$. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No
If Yes:
- Name of district or service area:
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
- Is the project site in the existing district? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$
- Do existing lines serve the project site?
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?

If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:
- Source(s) of supply for the district:
$i v$. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?
If, Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district:
- Date application submitted or anticipated:
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:
$v$. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:
$v i$. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: $\qquad$ gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If Yes:
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: $\qquad$ gallons/day
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each):
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:
- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:
- Name of district:
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?

- Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?
- Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? If Yes:
- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:
is: Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?
If Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district: $\qquad$
- Date application submitted or anticipated:
- What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?
v: If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?
If Yes:
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ acres (impervious surface)
-_ Square feet or $\qquad$ acres (parcel size)
ii. Describe types of new point sources.
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?
- If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:
- Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?

$i v$. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel
 combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?
If Yes, identify:
$i$. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?
If Yes:
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:
- Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$
- _.. Tons/year (slort tons) of Nitrous Oxide ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ )
- Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- ___ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride ( $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ )
- _Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)
- Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)
h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?
If Yes:
$i$. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): $\qquad$
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations?
If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?
If Yes:
$i$ When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): $\square$ Morning $\square$ Evening $\square$ Weekend $\square$ Randomly between hours of $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .
ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day:
iii. Parking spaces: Existing
$i v$. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?
$v$. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed site?
vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric
 or other alternative fueled vehicles?
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ pedestrian or bicycle routes?
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?
If Yes:
i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation?

I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.
i. During Construction:
- Monday - Friday: $\qquad$
- Saturday: $\qquad$
- Sunday: $\qquad$
- Holidays:


## ii. During Operations:

- Monday - Friday:
- Saturday:
- Sunday:
- Holidays:

| m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, <br> operation, or both? <br> If yes: <br> i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: | $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:

- Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
- Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:
years
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous $\square$ Yes $\square$ No waste?
If Yes:
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: $\qquad$
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated $\qquad$ tons/month
$i v$. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?

If Yes: provide name and location of facility:
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:

## E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

## E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

a. Existing land uses.
$i$. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.
$\square$ Urban $\quad \square$ Industrial $\quad \square$ Commercial $\square$ Residential (suburban) $\square$ Rural (non-farm)
$\square$ ForestAgricultureAquatic $\square$ Other (specify): $\qquad$
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.

| Land use or <br> Covertype | Current <br> Acreage | Acreage After <br> Project Completion | Change <br> (Acres $+/-)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious <br> surfaces |  |  |  |
| - Forested |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- <br> agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) |  |  |  |
| -Agricultural <br> (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) |  |  |  |
| -Surface water features <br> (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) |  |  |  |
| - Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill) |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$Other <br> Describe: |  |  |  |






## F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.
If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

## G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.
Applicant/Sponsor Name Michael B. Specht, Town Supervisor
Date $\qquad$
Signature


Title Town of Ramapo, Town Supervisor


## Full Environmental Assessment Form (Continued - Version 2/15/19)

## Northeast Ramapo Strategic Plan and a Town-wide Future Land Use Generic Environmental Impact Statement

## C. 4 Existing Community Services

c. Brewer Fire Engine Company (Regional/ County Dept. \#7 - Monsey Fire Dept.); Columbia Fire Engine Company, et al (County Dept. \#17 - Spring Valley Fire Dept.); Hillburn Fire Company (County Dept. \#5 - Hillburn Fire Dept.); Hillcrest Fire Company No. 1 (County Dept. \#6 - Moleston Fire District); Pearl River Fire Dept. (County Dept. \#12) - part is known as Excelsior Engine Co.; Sloatsburg Fire (County Dept. \#15); South Spring Valley Fire District (County Dept. \#25) Hugh Gassner Fire Company; Suffern Hook \& Ladder Co. \#1 (County Dept. \#19); Tallman Fire Dept. (County Dept. \#20)

EMS: Hatzalah EMS Inc.; Ramapo Valley Ambulance Corps; Rockland County EMS; Rockland Mobile Care; Rockland Paramedic Services Inc., Sloatsburg Volunteer Community Ambulance Corps; Spring Hill Community Ambulance Corps.; Village of New Square EMS; William P. Faist Volunteer Ambulance
d. What parks serve the project site?

Clark Recreation Area, Hillburn Youth Center, Hillburn Veterans Park, Joseph T. St. Lawrence Recreation Center, Sloatsburg Community Fields, Spook Rock Golf Course, Brooklands Park, Suffern Horton Field and Memorial Pool, Orchard Hill Park, Welder Park-aka Viola Town Park, Willow Tree Town Park, John Vanden Hende Park, Kathryn Gorman Ponds, Challenger Center, Palisades Credit Union Park, Harry Reiss Park, Bon Aire Park, Town of Ramapo Senior Community Center, Ramapo Equestrian Center, Harmony Hill/ Sloats House, Adar Court, Dawn Lane, Brookstreet Park, John Suffern Park, Chestnut Street Park, Avon Park, Harmony Park, Point Park, Donna Hallet Park, Foxwood Pond, Clark Osborne Hockey Rink, Yorkshire Drive Park, Stonegate Park, Cobblestone Farm Park, Laddentown, Greg Sikorsky Park, Mitch Miller, Ward-Ling Park, Handwerg Park, Besen Park, Jay Street Park, and Mill Creek Park.

B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]
E.2.i. [Floodway]
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]

No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

No
No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-33, 860-51, 860-18, 860-31, 860-52, 860-34
$B, A(T), C(T)$

Federal Waters

No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]
E.2.I. [Aquifers]
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species Name]
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals - Name]
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]
E.3.i.i. [Designated River Corridor - Name]

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer Yes

Rocky Summit Grassland, Chestnut Oak Forest
61.7, 34048.61

Yes
Northern Long-eared Bat, Bog Turtle, Virginia Snakeroot, Reflexed Sedge, Timber Rattlesnake

Yes
Small-flowered Crowfoot, Gray Petaltail
No
No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]C.2.b. [Special Planning District]C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -Potential Contamination History]E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -Listed]E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -
DEC ID Number]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation
Site]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation
Site - DEC ID]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - StreamName]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - StreamClassification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - WetlandsName]

## No

No
Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Remediaton Sites:344004 , Remediaton Sites:344064
Yes - Digital mapping data for Spills Incidents are not available for this location. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes

Yes

344004, 344064

Yes

344051 , 344004 , 344064

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-29, 860-28, 860-17, 860-18, 860-25, 860-24, 860-26, 860-27
$A, B, A(T), C$

Federal Waters, NYS Wetland

| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Size] | NYS Wetland (in acres):20.5, NYS Wetland (in acres):18.2, NYS Wetland (in acres):43.0, NYS Wetland (in acres):24.2, NYS Wetland (in acres):30.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC Wetlands Number] | SL-5, SL-3, SL-2, SL-8, SL-9 |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies] | (No |
| E.2.i. [Floodway] | Yes |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.1. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Highlands SSA, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | Yes |
| E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name] | Appalachian Oak-Hickory Forest, Chestnut Oak Forest |
| E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres] | 8626.9, 34048.61 |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | Yes |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species Name] | Timber Rattlesnake, Northern Long-eared Bat, Bog Turtle |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | Yes |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals - Name] | Eastern Wormsnake |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places Name] | Torne Brook Farm |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Yes |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | Yes |
| E.3.i.i. [Designated River Corridor - Name] | Ramapo |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

DEC ID Number]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]

No
No
Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Remediaton Sites:344064
Yes - Digital mapping data for Spills Incidents are not available for this location. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes

Yes
344064

Yes

344064, 344029

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
864-501, 865-138.1, 865-87

C, C(T)

Federal Waters, NYS Wetland

| Size] | acres):19.6, NYS Wetland (in acres):42.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC | TH-17, TH-16, TH-31, TH-13 |
| Wetlands Number] |  |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies] | Yes |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies - Name and | Name - Pollutants - Uses:West Br.Hackensack, Upper, and tribs - Unknown |
| Basis for Listing] | Toxicity - Recreation;Aquatic Life |
| E.2.i. [Floodway] | Yes |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.l. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer, Primary Aquifer |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | Yes |
| E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name] | Rocky Summit Grassland |
| E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres] | 20.0 |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | Yes |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Torrey's Mountain Mint |  |
| Name] |  |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not |
| E. | available. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Palisades Interstate Parkway, English Church and Schoolhouse, Contempora |  |
| Name] | House |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Yes |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Size]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC Wetlands Number]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]

## No

No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
No

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-4, 860-13, 860-14, 860-12, 860-15
$A(T), C(T), B(T)$

Federal Waters, NYS Wetland

NYS Wetland (in acres):44.6, NYS Wetland (in acres):30.9, NYS Wetland (in acres):22.0, NYS Wetland (in acres):86.0
TH-21, TH-28, TH-30, TH-14

No
E.2.i. [Floodway]

Yes
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]

Yes
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]

Yes
E.2.I. [Aquifers]
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]
E.2.0. [Endangered or Threatened Species Name]
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]
E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Gurnee-Sherwood House, Ladentown United Methodist Church, SeamanName]
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]
:No
Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer Yes
Highbush Blueberry Bog Thicket, Chestnut Oak Forest 140.91, 34048.61

Yes
Timber Rattlesnake, Brook Floater, Frank's Sedge, Violet Wood Sorrel, Hyssop Skullcap, Large Twayblade
No
No
No Knapp House
Yes No

B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Size]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC

Wetlands Number]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]

No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

## No

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-25, 860-12, 860-4, 860-13, 860-15
$B, A(T), C(T)$

Federal Waters, NYS Wetland

NYS Wetland (in acres):30.9, NYS Wetland (in acres):86.0

TH-28, TH-14

No
E.2.i. [Floodway]
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]
E.2.I. [Aquifers]
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] Name]
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]
E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Gurnee-Sherwood House Name]
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]

Yes
Yes

Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Northern Long-eared Bat, Timber Rattlesnake, Brook Floater, Frank's Sedge, Violet Wood Sorrel
No

Places] Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
Yes
Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer
Yes
Rocky Summit Grassland, Highbush Blueberry Bog Thicket, Chestnut Oak Forest
128.32, 140.91, 34048.61

No
No
No Yes
No


| B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area] | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area] | No |
| C.2.b. [Special Planning District] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site] | No |
| E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features] | No |
| E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features] | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name] | 865-171, 865-176 |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification] | C |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name] | Federal Waters |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies] | Yes |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies - Name and Basis for Listing] | Name - Pollutants - Uses:Pascack Brook and tribs, within NYS - Unknown Toxicity - Recreation;Aquatic Life |
| E.2.i. [Floodway] | Yes |


| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | No |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Workbook. |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |



| B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area] | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area] | No |
| C.2.b. [Special Planning District] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site] | Yes |
| E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID] | 344035 , V00097 |
| E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features] | No |
| E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features] | No |
| E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features] | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies] | No |
| E.2.i. [Floodway] | No |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | No |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ridgewood SSA |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | No |


| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
|  | Workbook. |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | No |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation

Site]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]
E.2.i. [Floodway]
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]

No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
No

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
865-187.1, 865-188

A(TS), C

Federal Waters

No
Yes
Yes
Yes

| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ridgewood SSA |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | No |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Workbook. |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |
|  | No |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation

Site - DEC ID]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream

Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]
E.2.i. [Floodway]

No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

Yes

344033 , C344047

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-5

C

Federal Waters

No
No

| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | No |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ridgewood SSA, Sole Source |
|  | No |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | No |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
|  | Workbook. |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Yes |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -
Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -
Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -
Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation
Site]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation
Site - DEC ID]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream
Name]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream
Classification]
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands
Name]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies - Name and
Basis for Listing]
B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]

## No

## No

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Yes
C344047, 344035, 344018

No
Yes
Yes
Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
860-5, 865-198, 865-166, 860-8, 865-182, 865-188, 865-195, 865-193, 865-
179, 865-185, 860-13, 865-165, 865-181, 865-180
C, B, C(T)
Federal Waters
Yes
Name - Pollutants - Uses:Pascack Brook and tribs, within NYS - Unknown Toxicity - Recreation;Aquatic Life

| E.2.i. [Floodway] | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.l. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ridgewood SSA, Sole Source |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not |
| E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - | DeBaun, John A., Mill, Houser-Conklin House, Brick Church Complex |
| Name] |  |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Yes |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |


B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed]
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site -

Environmental Site Remediation Database]
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]
E.2.i. [Floodway]
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]
E.2.I. [Aquifers]
E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]

No
No
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
No

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
Yes
Principal Aquifer, Sole Source Aquifer Names:Ramapo SSA, Primary Aquifer Yes
Chestnut Oak Forest
34048.61
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Timber Rattlesnake Name]
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District] No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] No
E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] No


| B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area] | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area] | No |
| C.2.b. [Special Planning District] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Potential Contamination History] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Listed] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site Environmental Site Remediation Database] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site] | No |
| E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features] | No |
| E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features] | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name] | 865-178 |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification] | C |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name] | Federal Waters, NYS Wetland |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Size] | NYS Wetland (in acres):42.3 |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC Wetlands Number] | TH-19 |
| E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies] | Yes |


| E.2.h.v [impaired Water Bodies - Name and <br> Basis for Listing] | Name - Pollutants - Uses:Pascack Brook and tribs, within NYS - Unknown <br> E.2.i. [Floodway] |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | No |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | No |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Yes |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | Principal Aquifer |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | No |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | Workbook. |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |

## Full Environmental Assessment Form <br> Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

|  | Project $:$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| Down of Rarnapo: 2018095 |  |
| Date $:$ | June 24, 2019 as revised 7/11/19 |
|  |  |

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

## Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a-j. If "No", move on to Section 2.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is <br> less than 3 feet. | E2d | $\square$ <br> b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of $15 \%$ or greater. | E2f |
| c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or <br> generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. | E2a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons <br> of natural material. | D2a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year <br> or in multiple phases. | D1e | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical <br> disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides). | D2e, D2q | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area. | B1i | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

## 2. Impact on Geological Features

The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, V ${ }^{\text {NO }}$ $\square$ YES minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions $a-c$. If "No", move on to Section 3.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: | E2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a <br> registered National Natural Landmark. <br> Specific feature: | E3c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Other impacts: |  |  | $\square$ |

## 3. Impacts on Surface Water

The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water $\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions $a-l$. If "No", move on to Section 4.

|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may create a new water body. | D2b, D1h | 回 | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over $10 \%$ or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water. | D2b | \% | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. | D2a | 7 | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. | E2h | V | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments. | D2a, D2h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water. | D2c | 7 | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s). | D2d | 7 | $\square$ |
| h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. | D2e | 7 | $\square$ |
| i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. | E2h | , | $\square$ |
| j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. | D2q, E2h | , | $\square$ |
| k . The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities. | D1a, D2d | $\square$ | , |


| 1. Other impacts: ___ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 4. Impact on groundwater

The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer.
(See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-h$. If "No", move on to Section 5 .

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells. | D2c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. <br> Cite Source: $\qquad$ | D2c | 7 | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. | D1a, D2c | V | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. | D2d, E2I | [7] | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated. | D2c, E1f, <br> E1g, E1h | V | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer. | D2p, E2l | 7 | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{q}, \\ & \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 5. Impact on Flooding

The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding (See Part 1. E.2)
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. | E2i | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain. | E2j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain. | E2k | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns. | D2b, D2e | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D2b, E2i, } \\ & \text { E2j, E2k } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade? | E1e | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| g. Other impacts: $\quad \square$ | $\square$ | 口 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 6. Impacts on Air

The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g)
If "Yes", answer questions a-f. If "No", move on to Section 7.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <br> i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ <br> ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ <br> iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) <br> iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$ <br> v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions <br> vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane | D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2h |  |  |
| b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants. | D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs . per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | D2f, D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may reach $50 \%$ of any of the thresholds in " $a$ " through " c ", above. | D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | D2s | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

7. Impact on Plants and Animals

The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.)
$\square \mathrm{NO}$ W YES If "Yes", answer questions $a-j$. If "No", move on to Section 8.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any <br> threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal <br> government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E20 | $\square$ |  |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by <br> any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal <br> government. | E2o | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any <br> species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the <br> Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by <br> any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or <br> the Federal government. | E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural <br> Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect. | E 3 c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any <br> portion of a designated significant natural community. <br> Source: | E 2 n | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or <br> over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. | E 2 m | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, <br> grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. <br> Habitat type \& information source: | E1b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of <br> herbicides or pesticides. | D2q | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| j. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |


| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources <br> The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a If "Yes", answer questions $a-h$. If "No", move on to Section 9. | b.) | $\square \mathrm{NO}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. | E2c, E3b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). | E1a, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. | E3b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. | E1b, E3a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. | Ela, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C2c, C3, } \\ & \text { D2c, D2d } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | C2c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources

The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in
$\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)
If "Yes", answer questions a-g. If "No", go to Section 10.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. | E3h | ㅁ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round | E3h | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 미 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: <br> i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work <br> ii. Recreational or tourism based activities | E3h <br> E2q, <br> E1c | ㅁ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |
| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | E3h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: <br> $0-1 / 2$ mile <br> $1 / 2-3$ mile <br> 3-5 mile <br> $5+$ mile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D1a, E1a, } \\ & \text { D1f, D1g } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources

The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological
 resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions $a-e$. If "No", go to Section 11.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been <br> nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or <br> National Register of Historic Places. | E3e | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic <br> Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. <br> Source: | E3g | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| d. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may <br> e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: <br> i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. <br> ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. <br> iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting. | E3e, E3g, <br> E3f <br> E3e, E3f, <br> E3g, E1a, <br> E1b <br> E3e, E3f, <br> E3g, E3h, <br> C2, C3 | $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ | $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ |

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation

The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a
 reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.
(See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-e$. If "No", go to Section 12.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> tolarge <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem <br> services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater <br> strage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. | D2e, E1b <br> E2h, <br> E2m, E2o, <br> E2n, E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. | C2a, E1c, <br> C2c, E2q | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area <br> with few such resources. | C2a, C2c <br> E1c, E2q | $\boxed{\square}$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the <br> community as an open space resource. | C2c, E1c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas

The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical
$\boxed{\square} \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-c$. If "No", go to Section 13.

|  | Relevant <br> Part $\boldsymbol{I}$ ( <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or <br> characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or <br> characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 13. Impact on Transportation

The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. (See Part 1. D.2.j)
If "Yes", answer questions a -f. If "No", go to Section 14.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. | D2j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or <br> more vehicles. | D 2 j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access. | D 2 j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. | D 2 j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods. | D 2 j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Other impacts:Proposed action may cause increase in vehicle trips and traffic. |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

14. Impact on Energy

The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.
$\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ (See Part 1. D.2.k)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-e$. If "No", go to Section 15.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. | D2k | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission <br> or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a <br> commercial or industrial use. | D1f, <br> D1q, D2k | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. | D2k | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square <br> feet of building area when completed. | D1g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. Other Impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. $\quad \square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square$ YES
(See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)
If "Yes", answer questions a-f. If "No", go to Section 16.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> tolarge <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local <br> regulation. | D2m | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, <br> hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home. | D2m, E1d | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day. | D2o | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| d．The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties． | D2n | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| e．The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky－glow brighter than existing <br> area conditions． | D2n，E1a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f．Other impacts： |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| 16．Impact on Human Health <br> The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants．（See Part 1．D．2．q．，E．1．d．f．g． If＂Yes＂，answer questions a－m．If＂No＂，go to Section 17. | $\boxed{\square} \mathrm{NO}$$\square$ YES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No，or small impact may cccur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a．The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school，hospital，licensed day care center，group home，nursing home or retirement community． | Eld | $\square$ | 口 |
| b．The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation． | E1g，E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c．There is a completed emergency spill remediation，or a completed environmental site remediation on，or adjacent to，the site of the proposed action． | E1g，E1h | व | $\square$ |
| d．The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property（e．g．，easement or deed restriction）． | E1g，Elh | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e．The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health． | E1g，E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f．The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation，treatment and／or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health． | D2t | व | $\square$ |
| g．The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility． | D2q，E1f | $\square$ | 口 |
| h．The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste． | D2q，E1f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i．The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal，or processing，of solid waste． | D2r，D2s | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| j．The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste． | E1f, E1g E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| k．The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures． | E1f，Elg | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| 1．The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D2s, E1f, } \\ & \text { D2r } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | 口 |
| m．Other impacts： |  |  |  |

## 17. Consistency with Community Plans

The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) If "Yes", answer questions $a-h$. If "No", go to Section 18.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s). | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{a} \\ & \text { E1a, E1b } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than $5 \%$. | C2 | V | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations. | C2, C2, C3 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans. | C2, C2 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure. | C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action) | C2a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 18. Consistency with Community Character

The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. $\quad \square$ NO $\quad \square$ YES
(See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas <br> of historic importance to the community. | $\mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{E} 3 \mathrm{~g}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. <br> schools, police and fire) | C 4 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where <br> there is a shortage of such housing. | $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{f}$ <br> $\mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{a}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized <br> or designated public resources. | $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{E} 3$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and <br> character. | $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape. | $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ <br> $\mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{~b}$ <br> $\mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

Date: 7/14/19

# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3-Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and <br> Determination of Significance 

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

## Reasons Supporting This Determination;

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact. occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

See six-page Attachment: 'EAF Part 3 Narrative' supporting rationale.


Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information Key sources include: Town of Ramapo Town Code: Town of Ramapo 2004 Comprehensive.Plan: Rockland Tomorrow 2011 Comprehensive Plan: Draft scoping document/ outline; and Knowledge of the Town and NE Ramapo.
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Town of Ramapo Town Board as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
$\square$ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: Comp. Plan Amd. for the NE Ramapo Dev. Plan \& Comp. Plan Update of Town-wide Existing Conditions Code Amd. for NE Ramapo

| Name of Lead Agency: Town of Ramapo - Town Board |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Michael Specht |  |  |
| Title of Responsible Officer: Town Supervisor |  |  |
| Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: | Date: |  |
| Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) | (a)11/19 |  |

## For Further Information:

Contact Person: Michael Kaltsky, Director of Planning \& Zoning, Care of: Town Department of Building, Planning \& Zoning
Address: Town of Ramapo - Town Hall, 237 Rt. 59, Suffem NY, 10901
Telephone Number: (845) 376-2640
E-mail: klatskym@ramapo-ny.org
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)
Other involved agencies (if any)
Applicant (if any)
Environmental Notice Bulletin: hutp://www.dec.ny, gov/enb/enb,html

## EAF Part 3 Narrative

This EAF Part 3 Narrative sets forth the reasons that support the Town Board's SEQR determination of Positive Declaration. The SEQR Action is a Comprehensive Plan Amendment for the Northeast Ramapo Development Plan; a Comprehensive Plan Update of Town-wide Existing Conditions; and Town Code Amendments for Northeast Ramapo. In making this determination, in addition to the supporting information listed in EAF Part 3, consideration was given to the criteria set forth in the SEQR Regulations $\S 617.7(\mathrm{c})(1),(2)$ and (3).

1. Impact on Land - An amendment to the Comprehensive Plan and Town Code amendments will not cause a significant adverse impact on land. The Action will not "involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site," quoting Item 1 in EAF Part 2. In addition, none of the sub-questions under Item 1 are applicable to Comprehensive Plan amendments or Town Code amendments which affect a general area such as Northeast Ramapo, as opposed to a particular land development application. The Board recognizes that if the Comprehensive Plan is amended and related Town Code amendments are adopted, land development will occur in compliance with the new Town Code regulations. However, it is not possible at this time to evaluate the impact on land from potential land development either generally or upon particular sites. No land use development applications have been submitted to the Planning Board or other Town agency that may comply with Code amendments. An impact on land cannot be evaluated until such application(s) are submitted.
2. Impact on Geological Features - The proposed Action will not have a significant adverse impact on geological features for the same reasons set forth in Item 1 above. Based on the NYSDEC EAF Mapper and other sources, there are no unique or unusual land forms within Northeast Ramapo and no geological features that are registered National Natural Landmarks that could be affected by the proposed Action. Escarpments/cliffs and prominent ridgelines are protected within County and State parks.
3. Impacts on Surface Water - The proposed Action will not cause a significant adverse environmental impact on wetlands or other surface water bodies. In addition, the proposed action will not have a potentially moderate to large impact on any of the eleven (11) sub-questions included in Item 3 in EAF Part 2. The proposed Action will not authorize or encourage any adverse impact on surface water. Moreover, wetlands, streams, ponds, lakes and other surface waters are protected by federal, State, County and local laws, rules and regulations, including but not limited to the Clean Water Act, federal and State wetlands laws and regulations, stormwater management and erosion control laws and regulations, and aquifer protection and watershed protection regulations. Notwithstanding the above, the Board's Draft Generic Environment Impact Statement (DGEIS) will evaluate the wastewater treatment and infrastructure capacity of Rockland County Sewer District No. Iin relation to the proposed Action.
4. Impact on Groundwater - Since the proposed Action may result in increased residential densities and increased non-residential development compared to permissible development under current zoning regulations, the proposed Action may result in new or
additional use of groundwater and create additional demand on existing water supplies, thereby potentially causing a moderate to large impact. Board's Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement (DGEIS) will evaluate the wastewater. The Board notes that there is no reason at this time to believe that the proposed Action may cause such an impact; however, the Board recognizes that the SEQR standard is whether an action "may" cause a potential significant adverse impact. The Board's (DGEIS) will discuss the Suez Water Co. water supply system, demand and safe yield as relates to the proposed Action, and the potential effect of increased development in Northeast Ramapo on the water supply and water supply system. Although it is not expected that water supply demand arising from increased development in Northeast Ramapo may exceed the safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the water supply or aquifer [see sub-question (b) in Item 4 of EAF Part 2], the DGEIS will evaluate that issue. The DGEIS will discuss the service areas of the Suez Water Co. and Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 and determine whether the proposed Action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services [sub-question (c)] or may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater [sub-question (d)]. The proposed Action will not result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated [subquestion (e)]; will not require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over groundwater or an aquifer [sub-question (f)]; and will not involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources [sub-question $(\mathrm{g})$ ].
5. Impact on Flooding - The proposed Action will not result in development of lands subject to flooding. Some areas in Northeast Ramapo are located within the 100 -year floodplain and a very small area lies within the 500 -year floodplain. The proposed Action will not authorize or encourage development in a floodplain. In addition, proposed floodplain development is already regulated by the Town's Flood Damage Prevention local law. Further, new development must comply with stormwater management requirements to ensure that stormwater runoff from a site does not significantly increase the potential for flooding.
6. Impact on Air - The proposed Action does not include a State regulated air emission source. In addition, none of the sub-questions in Item 6 of EAF Part 2 are applicable to the proposed Action.
7. Impact on Plants and Animals - The proposed Action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. Since the proposed Action may result in increased residential densities and increased development in Northeast Ramapo compared to permissible development under current zoning regulations, it is possible that the proposed Action may result in a greater loss of flora or fauna than would occur under the current zoning regulations. As stated previously, the Board recognizes that the term "may" presents a low threshold for determining significance and, therefore, the Board errs on the side of caution in making this determination that the proposed Action may have a moderate to large impact on plants and animals. It is not expected that any of the sub-questions in Item 7 of the EAF Part 2 are applicable to the proposed Action; however, in order to err on the conservative side, Part 2 states that the proposed Action may cause a reduction in population or loss of individuals of a threatened or endangered species [sub-question (a)] or a species of special concern or conservation need [sub-question (c)], as listed by New York State or the federal government. The DGEIS will evaluate this environmental issue and if it is
concluded that the proposed Action may have a significant adverse impact on plants and animals, the DGEIS will propose mitigation measures.
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources - The proposed Action will not adversely impact agricultural resources. There is no Agricultural District in Northeast Ramapo. The conservation of Conklin Orchards is protected. None of the sub-questions in Item 8 of the EAF Part 2 are applicable to the proposed Action.
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources - The land uses which may be authorized by the proposed Action are not different from, or in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource (reciting the standard in Item 9 of EAF Part 2). In addition, since current zoning allows residential uses, commercial uses and mixed uses in Northeast Ramapo, the land uses which may be authorized by the proposed Action are not obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current land use patterns. Item 9 of the EAF Part 2 expressly refers to "the proposed project" as opposed to a comprehensive plan amendment and zoning law amendment that generally affects a large area. All of the sub-questions in Item 9 of the EAF Part 2 pertain to particular development projects, as opposed to a comprehensive plan or zoning amendment. The proposed Action will not authorize or encourage development that may adversely impact aesthetic resources. However, the Board recognizes that the Northeast Ramapo area contains the Palisades Interstate Parkway, which is listed on the State and Federal Registers of Historic Places and contains Town-designated scenic roads. It is not expected that the proposed Action may have a moderate or large adverse impact on the Parkway or scenic roads. Although there is no expected adverse impact on scenic roads, the DGEIS will review the Town's existing scenic road standards.
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources - Although the proposed Action will not authorize or encourage any land development that may adversely affect a historical or archeological resource, the proposed Action will occur in or adjacent to a historic or archeological resource. Accordingly, pursuant to the strict reading of the standard in Item 10 of EAF Part 2, the proposed Action may have a moderate to large impact on historic and archeological resources. With respect to the sub-questions in Item 10, although not intended or expected, it is possible that the proposed Action may result in the alteration of a historic property's setting or integrity or may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the historical or archeological site or property, or may alter its setting [subquestion (e) (ii) and (iii)]. The DGEIS will list and describe historic resources and discuss resource stewardship and means to protect historic and cultural resources.
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation - The proposed Action may result in a loss of future recreational opportunities. Since it is possible that the proposed Action may recommend that Town-owned vacant land in Northeast Ramapo be utilized for non-recreational purposes, the proposed Action may result in the loss of that land as a future recreational resource [sub-question (b) of Item 11 of EAF Part 2]. In addition, the proposed Action may increase the need for recreational resources and increase demands on existing recreational facilities. The DGEIS will examine such potential impacts and, if warranted, evaluate mitigation measures. The other sub-questions in Item 11 of the EAF Part 2 are not applicable. The Town of Ramapo has not adopted an open space plan. The proposed Action will not result in an impairment of
natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by undeveloped areas [sub-question (a)]; will not eliminate open space or recreational resources in an area with few such resources [subquestion (c)]; and will not result in the loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource [sub-question (d)].
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas - No Critical Environmental Area is established within or adjacent to the Town of Ramapo.
13. Impact on Transportation - The proposed Action itself will not impact existing traffic or transportation systems. However, since the proposed Action may allow increased residential densities and increased land development in Northeast Ramapo compared to permissible development under current zoning regulations, and may allow development of an educational campus, the proposed Action may have a moderate to large impact on transportation systems, since a traffic increase may exceed the capacity of existing road networks [sub-question (a) of Item 13 of EAF Part 2]; may result in the construction of paved parking for 500 or more vehicles [sub-question (b)]; and may cause an increase in vehicle trips and traffic [sub-question (f)]. The proposed Action will not degrade existing transit access [sub-question (c)]; will not degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations [sub-question (d)]; and will not alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods [sub-question (e)]. The DGEIS will examine potential traffic impacts in Northeast Ramapo and in other areas of the Town that may be caused by the changes in the land use and development in Northeast Ramapo, including areas adjoining Northeast Ramapo; major traffic corridors and intersections in Villages located between the Monsey area and Northeast Ramapo; and major traffic corridors for a limited distance in neighboring towns. The DGEIS will examine potential mitigation measures, such as intersection changes, traffic signal timing, roadway capacity increases, and enhancement of non-motorized modes of transportation, including multi-use paths, crosswalks and sidewalks. The DGEIS will also address the land area required for vehicle parking, including whether revised off-street parking requirements and/or mixed use development may reduce parking demand and reduce the necessary land area set aside for parking.
14. Impact on Energy - Although the proposed Action itself will not cause an increase in energy use, since the proposed Action may authorize increased land development than permitted under current zoning regulations, the proposed Action may require the extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial use [sub-question (b) of Item 14 of EAF Part 2] and may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area [sub-question (d)]. The DGEIS will discuss existing energy demand and distribution and potential impacts and mitigation related to the proposed Action.
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light - Since the proposed Action may allow increase residential densities and increase land development in northeast Ramapo, the proposed Action may result in an increase in outdoor lighting, it may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions [sub-question (e) of Item 15]. It is not expected that the proposed Action will result in an increase in noise or odor.
16. Impact on Human Health - The proposed Action will not have an impact on health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants.
17. Consistency with Community Plans - The proposed Action is not consistent with adopted land use plans, since the proposed Action may allow increased residential densities and increased land development as compared to the Town's 2004 Comprehensive Plan and current zoning regulations. With respect to the sub-questions in item 17 of EAF Part 2, the proposed Action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns [sub-question (a)]; and the proposed Action may be inconsistent with local land use plans and zoning regulations [sub-question (c)]; may cause a change in the density of the development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure [sub-question (e)]; is located in an area characterized by low density development that may require new or expanded public infrastructure [sub-question (f)]; and may induce secondary development impacts [sub-question (g)]. It is not expected that the proposed Action will cause the permanent population of the Town to grow by more than 5\% [sub-question (b)], since substantial growth is underway and it is not expected that the proposed Action will cause a significant increase in that trend.
18. Consistency with Community Character - The proposed Action may be inconsistent with the existing community character in Northeast Ramapo. The proposed Action recognizes that the neighborhood character and open space character of Northeast Ramapo is defined, in part, by permanently conserved lands at South Mountain, the Mt. Ivy Environment Park, Conklin Orchards, the linear forested setting established by the Palisades Interstate Parkway, and regulated wetlands and floodplains; that limit future development. The proposed Action will not interfere with the use and enjoyment of these resources. The proposed Action will not cause a significant adverse impact on historic structures or cultural resources. However, the proposed Action may create a demand for additional community services [sub-question (b) of Item 18 of EAF Part 2]; may allow land development which is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character in the Northeast area [sub-question (e)]; and may be inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape [sub-question (f)]. The DGEIS will include a buildout analysis for the Northeast area, which will assess potential impacts in terms of land uses, coverage, development density, mix of housing types, size and architectural appearance of new buildings, potential increase in residential units and non-residential space as compared to land development permitted by current zoning regulations, and community resources. The DGEIS will assess potential impacts to community character and character areas and discuss potential land development policies and alternative design standards and urban design treatments intended to foster desirable appearances and land uses, character preservation and enhancement, and well-managed land use.
